

Continuum shell model description of weakly bound and unbound nuclear systems

N. Michel¹, W. Nazarewicz^{2,3,4}, J. Okołowicz⁵, M. Płoszajczak⁶

¹ CEA/DSM/IRFU/SPhN, Orme des Merisiers, F-91191 Gif sur Yvette Cedex, France

² Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Tennessee
Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA

³ Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, P.O. Box 2008
Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

⁴ Institute of Theoretical Physics, Warsaw University, ul. Hoża 69
PL-00681 Warszawa, Poland

⁵ Institute of Nuclear Physics, Radzikowskiego 152, PL-31342 Kraków, Poland

⁶ Grand Accélérateur National d'Ions Lourds (GANIL), CEA/DSM-CNRS/IN2P3,
BP 55027, F-14076 Caen Cedex 05, France

Contact e-mail: *ploszajczak@ganil.fr*

Impressive progress has been achieved over last few years in the development of shell model for weakly bound or unbound nuclear states. The real-energy continuum shell model (the so-called Shell Model Embedded in the Continuum [1]) has been extended to treat the two-particle continuum and applied for the description of two-proton radioactivity [2][3]. The shell model in the complex k -plane (the so-called Gamow Shell Model (GSM)) [4] has been formulated using a complex Berggren ensemble and applied for binding energies and energy spectra of bound and unbound neutron-rich helium and lithium isotopes [5], spin-orbit splittings [6] and spectroscopic factors [7].

In this framework, we shall discuss recent results involving spectra, p -capture reaction cross-sections, binding systematics, nuclear radii, spectroscopic factors and one-neutron overlap integrals for neutron-rich drip-line nuclei. In this discussion we shall concentrate mainly on near-threshold continuum coupling phenomena. Moreover, salient features of level degeneracies in both integrable and non-integrable open quantum systems will be discussed [8].

1. K. Bennaceur, F. Nowacki, J. Okołowicz, and M. Płoszajczak, Nucl. Phys. **A651** (1999) 289; J. Okołowicz, M. Płoszajczak, and I. Rotter, Phys. Rep. **374** (2003) 271.
2. J. Rotureau, J. Okołowicz, and M. Płoszajczak, Nucl. Phys. **A767** (2006) 13.
3. B. Blank, and M. Płoszajczak, Rep. Prog. Phys. **71** (2008) 046301.
4. N. Michel, W. Nazarewicz, M. Płoszajczak, and K. Bennaceur, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 042502; N. Michel, W. Nazarewicz, M. Płoszajczak, and J. Okołowicz, Phys. Rev. C **67** (2003) 054311.
5. N. Michel, W. Nazarewicz, M. Płoszajczak, Phys. Rev. C **70** (2004) 064313.
6. J. Dobaczewski, et al., Prog. in Part. and Nucl. Phys. **59** (2007) 432.
7. N. Michel, W. Nazarewicz, and M. Płoszajczak, Phys. Rev. C **75** (2007) 031301.
8. J. Dukelsky, J. Okołowicz, and M. Płoszajczak, in preparation.